1	FITZGERALD KNAIER LLP			
2	Kenneth M. Fitzgerald, Esq. (SBN: 142505)			
3	kfitzgerald@fitzgeraldknaier.com Keith M. Cochran, Esq. (SBN: 254346)			
4	kchochran@fitzgeraldknaier.com			
	402 West Broadway, Suite 1400			
5	San Diego, California 92101			
6	Tel: (619) 241-4810 Fax: (619) 955-5318			
7	1 ax. (017) 733 3310			
8	WARREN LEX LLP			
	Matthew S. Warren, Esq. (SBN: 230565)			
9	16-463@cases.warrenlex.com Patrick M. Shields, Esq. (SBN: 204739)			
10	16-463@cases.warrenlex.com			
11	2261 Market Street, No. 606			
12	San Francisco, California 94114			
	Tel: (415) 895-2940 Fax: (415) 895-2964			
13		lant		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter Defend ViaSat, Inc.	iant		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
18	ViaSat, Inc.,) Case No.: 3:16-cv-00463-BEN-JMA		
	a Delaware corporation,	Plaintiff ViaSat, Inc.'s Response to		
19		Defendant Acacia Communications		
20	Plaintiff	Inc.'s Ex Parte Application		
21	and Counter Defendant,)		
22	v.	Dist. Judge: Hon. Roger T. Benitez		
		Hon. Magistrate Jan M. Adler		
23	Acacia Communications, Inc.,	Case Initiated: January 21, 2016		
24	a Delaware corporation,	,)		
25	Defendant)		
26	and Counter Claimant,)		
27		,)		
28)		

Plaintiff ViaSat, Inc. ("ViaSat") obtained hearing dates for three separate motions from the Court's law clerk, and assumed (perhaps wrongly) that the 25-page limit in Local Rule 7.1(h) would apply to each separate motion, since three separate hearings were sought. ViaSat should have clarified this point with the Court, and either requested separate hearing dates for each separate motion or obtained leave of Court for 25 pages per motion, under Local Rule 7.1(h), since the Court set all three motions for the same date.

ViaSat does not object to defendant and counterclaimant Acacia Communications, Inc. ("Acacia") having 25 pages for each of its oppositions to each of ViaSat's motions (a concession Acacia could have easily clarified by waiting a few more minutes for a return phone call from ViaSat's counsel, rather than rushing to file its *Ex Parte* Application).

This is a case of significant magnitude, raising complex intellectual property, contract, and jurisdictional issues. Each of ViaSat's three motions raises important issues which require the full amount of briefing ViaSat devoted to them. Acacia also filed four separate motions, totaling **89 pages**, so it would be extremely prejudicial to strike ViaSat's motions or limit ViaSat to 25 pages when Acacia has submitted even more briefing to the Court.

If the Court wishes to limit the page allocation for each hearing date to 25 pages, ViaSat would respectfully request that each of its three motions be set on separate hearing dates. If that is the Court's approach, ViaSat suggests that its Motion for Summary Judgment on Acacia's Counterclaim for Patent Misappropriation (Dkt. No. 89) be heard first, since it would potentially result in remand of the entire case to state court if granted (thereby obviating the need for the Court to adjudicate any of the remaining motions, including Acacia's).

If the Court is inclined to leave all three of ViaSat's motions set for the same date, ViaSat respectfully requests leave to exceed the page limit specified by Local

Case 3 16-cv-00463-BEN-JMA Document 100 Filed 02/06/18 PageID.7537 Page 3 of 4

1	Rule 7.1(h), and ViaSat consents to Acacia having up to 25 pages for each of its		
2	opposit	ion briefs.	
3	Dated:	February 6, 2018	FITZGERALD KNAIER LLP
4			By: s/ Kenneth M. Fitzgerald
5			Kenneth M. Fitzgerald, Esq.
6			Keith M. Cochran, Esq.
7			-and-
8			WADDENLIEVILD
9			WARREN LEX LLP Matthew S. Warren, Esq.
10			Patrick M. Shields, Esq.
11			Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter
12			Defendant ViaSat, Inc.
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that today I am causing to be served the foregoing document by CM/ECF notice of electronic filing upon the parties and counsel registered as CM/ECF Users. I further certify that, to the extent they are not registered CM/ECF Users, I am causing the foregoing document to be served by electronic means via email upon counsel for Acacia Communications, Inc., per the agreement of counsel. Dated: February 6, 2018 s/ Kenneth M. Fitzgerald Kenneth M. Fitzgerald, Esq.